

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,595		08/01/2003	Ernest Henry Tong	202-0958	202-0958 1594	
32242	7590	09/17/2004	·	EXAMINER		
		TT PLLC	JOYCE, HAROLD			
SUITE 40		É STREET		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ANN ARI	BOR, MI	48104		3749		
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 / 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,595	TONG, ERNEST HE	NRY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harold Joyce	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	∋ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the m	ierits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National St	age
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I		52)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8012003</u>. 	6) Other:		,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 7-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Milojevic et al. or the United Kingdom patent in view of Tong et al. ('264). Milojevic et al. or the United Kingdom patent discloses the claimed invention except for an airflow detector. Tong et al. ('264) teaches that it is known to provide a paint booth with an flow velocity sensor as set forth at column 5, lines 5-11. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the paint booth of Milojevic et al. or the United Kingdom patent with an airflow detector, as taught by Tong et al. in order to control cross flow.
- 3. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Milojevic et al. or the United Kingdom patent as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tong et al. ('421). Further, Milojevic et al. or the United Kingdom patent discloses the claimed invention except for the variable density filter media. Tong et al. ('421) teaches that it is known to provide a paint booth with variable density filter media as set forth at column 4, lines 13-17. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the paint booth of Milojevic

Application/Control Number: 10/604,595 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

et al. or the United Kingdom patent with variable density filter media, as taught by in order to promote uniform flow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which In claim 1, the use of the term "generally" in lines 10 and 11 does not particularly point out and distinctly claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 11 is allowed.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Haild Joyce
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749